

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/587,614	Applicant(s) CAMPOS ET AL.	
	Examiner Matthew P. Coughlin	Art Unit 4131	

All Participants:

(1) Matthew P. Coughlin.

(2) Dara Dinner.

Date of Interview: 9 April 2009

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-12 and 14-18

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

A request for an oral election based on a restriction requirement was made. Dara Dinner made a provisional election of the compound of Example 1 on page 41 of the specification with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-11 and 15-18 (in part).

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

